1 2 3 4 5			Pelham School Board Meeting Pelham Elementary School February 19, 2025 6:30 p.m.					
6 7	Scł	ool Board Members:	Troy Bressette, Chair; David Wilkerson, Vice Chair; Garrett Abare; Rebecca Cummings; and Darlene Greenwood					
8 9 10	Suj	perintendent:	Chip McGee					
11	Ass	sistant						
12 13	Suj	perintendent:	Sarah Marandos					
14 15	Ab	sent:	Deb Mahoney, Mya Belanger, and Alexia Nou					
16 17	Als	o in Attendance:	None					
18	I.	Public Session:						
19		A. Call to Order:						
20		6:30 p.m Chair Troy Bres	sette called the meeting to order, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.					
21								
22		B. Public Input at 6:31	p. m .:					
23		No one came forward.						
24 25		Dublic Input closed at 6.22	n m					
25 26		Public Input closed at 6:32	p.m.					
20 27		C. Opening Remarks:						
28		a. Superintendent:						
29	a. Superintendent: Superintendent Chip McGee provided opening remarks, highlighting that PES was celebrating Read Across							
30	America Week in recognition of Dr. Seuss's birthday.							
31		8						
32		Assistant Superintendent	Sarah Marandos mentioned the success of the PMS boys' basketball team, which					
33		recently won the champion	nship, and the cheerleading squad, which secured first place in their recent competition.					
34		Both teams will compete in	n Concord, NH, next Saturday.					
35								
36			t students and chaperones are preparing for an upcoming international education trip					
37		to Athens and Rome, which	n is expected to provide valuable learning experiences.					
38								
39	II.	Presentations:						
40		A. None						
41		Main Ianna						
42	111.	Main Issues:	T					
43 44	A. <u>Additional Levers of Improvement:</u>							
44 45	The meeting shifted to discussing additional levers for improving student academic performance. Dr. McGee							
40 46	acknowledged the urgency conveyed by the Board regarding the improvement of student outcomes, particularly in the area of Math. Dr. Marandos and Dr. McGee clarified that while progress had been made, the impact was not							
47		yet as significant as desire						
48		yet ab biginneant ab acon e						
49		Lever 1						
50			everal initiatives have been implemented, including the re-establishment of Curriculum					
51			a Supplemental Math Course for grade 8 at PMS, and the creation of accelerated					
52		programs for grades 6 thro						
53								
	Fak	202E	1					

Additionally, Professional Development, SAT Boot Camps, and recruiting experienced Math, Science, and Reading
 Teachers were highlighted as key steps to address these challenges. Despite these efforts, Dr. McGee emphasized
 the need for more urgent action.

Dr. McGee and Dr. Marandos proposed four key strategies to accelerate improvements in student academic
achievement, seeking the Board's support. The first lever discussed was the expansion of Professional
Development (PD). Dr. McGee outlined plans for targeted PD for elementary teachers on foundational skills
related to the science of reading and for grades 6-12 in areas related to core academic skills, such as vocabulary,
reading comprehension, and writing. The goal was to offer PD during contracted school hours rather than outside
school time, though this would require securing Substitute Teachers and additional funding. He noted that
anticipated underspending in salaries and benefits for the current year could be used to fund this initiative.

Dr. Marandos clarified the timing of Professional Development, including the upcoming March 11 PD Day focusing
on the Science of Reading and iReady data analysis. The Team also planned additional sessions in May, targeting
times when college students hired as substitutes would be available. The importance of ensuring sufficient
substitute coverage to avoid classroom disruptions was emphasized. Ms. Greenwood asked about the logistics of
covering all grade levels within the three days allocated for PD. Dr. Marandos responded that the PD would be
structured in half-day sessions for each grade, rotating staff to minimize disruption.

Ms. Greenwood inquired about the potential to schedule PD and whether all grade levels could be covered in a
week. Dr. Marandos explained that in previous years, they had successfully used a system of half-day sessions for
each grade level, spread across three days. This model would allow them to cover all six grade levels without
overwhelming the schedule.

The discussion turned to aligning Professional Development with teacher needs. Dr. Marandos assured the Board
 that teacher feedback, including surveys conducted after initial PD sessions, indicated strong interest and support
 for the planned training. The feedback was used to refine the approach, particularly for the Science of Reading at
 the elementary level.

Dr. Marandos responded to Mr. Wilkerson's question regarding PD specific to Math goals; the Team confirmed that
 Math-related PD would be incorporated into the March 11 sessions, with further training planned for middle
 school Math Teachers in preparation for a new curriculum next year. They stressed the importance of consistent
 PD for teachers to successfully implement the new Math program, similar to the model used at the elementary
 school level two years ago.

Mr. Wilkerson asked a question regarding the effectiveness of Professional Development in Math and the adoption
 of a new curriculum. He requested clarity on how the effectiveness of this initiative would be measured and
 whether there would be any indicators to track progress. Mr. Wilkerson expressed a preference for evidence based Professional Development and hoped to see measurable results.

Dr. Marandos responded, mentioning the positive reception of a previous trainer used during the rollout of the
 elementary program and the hope of securing a similarly skilled trainer for this initiative. The company would
 employ the trainer, providing Professional Development and ensuring high quality.

98 The idea of hosting a parent event was introduced. At this event, families could be engaged and potentially
99 complete an assessment. The event was expected to include a variety of topics and was scheduled for after the
100 upcoming February vacation.

Ms. Cummings suggested allowing teachers to observe colleagues' classrooms as a potential addition to future
 Professional Development. She noted that this practice, previously implemented at both elementary and middle
 school levels, had proven successful and could be an effective approach to learning.

57

65

72

77

82

88

93

97

- Mr. Bressette highlighted the pilot nature of the current initiative, emphasizing the need to assess its effectiveness
 and gather feedback from teachers and families before proceeding with additional steps. A more comprehensive
 teacher feedback survey to gather input on Professional Development's impact was proposed.
- A concern regarding the costs associated with substitutes for Professional Development was raised. It was noted
 that the estimated cost for substitutes alone for two days across all grades would be approximately \$23,000. The
 discussion then turned to the costs of bringing in outside trainers. Dr. Marandos mentioned that Title 2 funding,
 primarily allocated for Professional Development, could be used to cover these expenses.
- Mr. Abare stressed that the full impact of the changes would not be seen immediately but would likely be felt nextyear.
- 116

113

122

129 130

136

141

146

152

157

Mr. Wilkerson commented on the importance of addressing teacher turnover. Concern was raised about the
impact of turnover on the continuity of Professional Development, particularly for those who may not have
benefited from earlier training. Dr. McGee proposed a strategy of front-loading training for new teachers, ensuring
they received the necessary foundational knowledge early on. The District's mentoring program was also
highlighted as a key support mechanism and the required new teacher orientation.

123The discussion concluded with the recognition that while turnover is inevitable, the District's ability to manage a124turnover rate of **10% or less** is ideal, as higher turnover can become overwhelming. Mr. Bressette addressed the125District's mentoring efforts and new teacher orientation, and he asked about the possibility of using a "train the126trainer" model. Dr. Marandos indicated that the model had not been as successful. The District's approach focuses127on ensuring that all teachers receive the same training, with an upcoming Summer Boot Camp being an optional,128voluntary opportunity for new teachers to attend before the start of the school year.

Lever 2

131The Board's discussion focused on the importance of using objective data to make informed placement decisions,132particularly to provide additional instructional time for students who are not yet proficient in their subjects. Dr.133McGee mentioned that the aim is to give students who are behind more time to learn rather than sticking to the134standard curriculum. This approach is seen as critical for students transitioning from grades 8 to 9 and from135grades 5 to 6.

One key proposal involves placing grade 8 students who are not proficient in grade 8 Math into a two-semester
intensive Algebra 1 course at Pelham High School. This would allow these students to receive double the amount
of Math instruction in their freshmen year compared to the standard curriculum, signaling the importance of
addressing gaps in knowledge early.

A similar strategy is proposed for grade 5 students transitioning to grade 6. Students who are not yet proficient in
 Math or Reading will take an additional class in either Math or Reading rather than a second Unified Arts class.
 The third approach is implementing an objective placement test for all high school Math classes, replacing Teacher
 recommendations or parental/student choices with data-driven decisions.

Dr. McGee emphasized the need for support from the parents and the School Board to implement these changes.
There was an understanding that parents may be reluctant, especially if a parent wants their child in a class that
doesn't align with their child's strengths. Dr. McGee acknowledged that some students, particularly in middle
school, may not appreciate being placed in extra Math classes instead of Unified Arts. These changes are essential
to ensure students are adequately prepared in core subjects.

Additional sections of Math and Reading may be required at the high school level, which could lead to trade-offs between Math and Elective Teachers. Although no changes are expected to the staffing requests for the upcoming year, adjustments might be necessary as the scheduling process progresses. Regarding the middle school, staffing needs will likely be met without additional resources, though further discussions may arise.

- The new strategy for Math placement in grade 8 is already being implemented as part of the course selection
 process. Parents have generally been supportive of this change. For grade 5 students not yet proficient in Math or
 Reading, the plan is to place them in smaller, targeted classes focusing on these subjects. A certified Math or
 Reading Teacher will be assigned to these sections rather than random staff.
- Regarding staffing, the need for additional teachers for these sections is still unclear. Still, the number of sections
 may need to be increased at the high school level, which could require reallocating current staff. Although the
 District's budget for the next year has already been proposed, Dr. McGee mentioned that there may be slight
 adjustments to accommodate the necessary changes.
- Dr. Marandos commented that adjusting student schedules has already been tested in the past two years with
 programs such as "Mathletes" and a Reading program, where students not proficient in these subjects were placed
 in specialized classes. The school has not yet asked parents to select which Unified Arts class their child will forgo
 but might consider implementing a survey to gather input on this matter.
- 173Dr. Marandos stated that when it comes to the placement of grade 5 students who are not proficient in Math, the174plan is to place them in a specialized Math class for **one trimester**, though this is still under discussion. Each175section would likely consist of no more than **10 students**, allowing for more individualized attention. The goal is176to boost these students' Math skills without overwhelming them, with the option to rotate groups of students each177trimester.
- Dr. McGee said that if the budget were not a concern, additional teachers could be hired to allow all students who
 are not proficient in Math to receive extra instruction until they are caught up. However, due to staffing
 limitations, the plan will focus on maximizing current resources. The urgency of addressing these gaps in
 proficiency is emphasized, even though it may mean students miss out on other areas of interest, like art, for a
 time.
- 185The Board discussed the communication of proficiency levels to students and parents. Teachers regularly share186performance data with students and parents at the elementary level. The District provides simplified one-page187reports to parents to help them understand assessment results. However, there are concerns about how well188parents understand these results, and there is recognition that teachers need training on how to interpret data,189particularly from tools like IReady. Parents also have access to detailed reports, and the school encourages them to190reach out if they need help understanding their child's progress.
- Suppose students are proficient at the end of grade 5 but begin to struggle in grade 6. In that case, a process is in
 place for teachers to review historical data and alert the Administration to any discrepancies. Each school has an
 RTI (Response to Intervention) process that helps identify and address issues early. Dr. Marandos stated that
 students who typically perform well in elementary school continue to perform at grade level in subsequent years,
 but any sudden drop in performance is closely monitored.
- 198The Board discussed several points related to the Math placement process. Mr. Bressette requested clarification199regarding the differences between the current practices and the proposals outlined in bullets 1 and 3 under Lever200#2. Dr. Marandos responded that the main difference is in the Math placement program, which had been201implemented prior to COVID. The program of studies states that the Math placement process determines202placement in freshman-level courses.
- In the past, various data points were used; however, this year, the focus is on the mid-year **iReady** assessment. In January, students placed below grade level have two additional opportunities to improve their placement. The system has been well-received by parents, as students have their **SAS test** in May and the **iReady** assessment, with several months remaining for students to demonstrate they are on grade level. Students who meet the grade-level expectations can move out of the full-year algebra course.
- 209

162

167

172

178

184

191

197

- 210 Dr. Marandos then moved to the third bullet under lever **#2**, which involves potentially reallocating budget funds.
- 211 The current **iReady** test is only available for grade 9 students, and the proposal is to extend it to grade 10. This
- would allow for a more specific assessment of Geometry and Algebra domains, ensuring objective, normative data
- 213 supplement Teacher recommendations. The goal is to use the **iReady** test results to inform placement decisions
- 214 for **Geometry** and **Algebra 2** rather than relying solely on teacher recommendations.

215
216 It was confirmed that this adjustment would be a minor tweak to the existing process. Instead of Teachers
217 creating their placement tests, the **iReady** assessment will serve as an informed measure. Dr. McGee noted that
218 the shift, as discussed by Dr. Marandos, is significant. Teachers would no longer have to balance the roles of both
219 coach and referee for high-stakes placement decisions. Instead, the objective data from the **iReady** test would
220 drive the placement decisions, ensuring a more standardized approach.

222 Lever 3

221

230

237

243

250

255

The discussion then centered around a proposal to enhance the curriculum in non-math and non-reading classes, particularly at the elementary and middle school levels. The focus would be on incorporating more core academic skills, particularly the Health, Library, and STEAM courses. The idea was to introduce additional Math standards into the middle school Science classes, aiming to increase instructional time and engagement with key standards. It was noted that while these classes are often perceived as fun, the expectation is that they should still maintain high academic rigor. The support of the Board, parents, and Teachers will be crucial in raising the expectations for these Unified Arts classes.

231The cost of this initiative is minimal, as it can be covered by reprioritizing funds typically allocated for curriculum232revisions. The proposal will be prioritized over other competing initiatives. Ms. Greenwood expressed concerns233about the potential workload for Library Teachers, who typically teach multiple grade levels. Dr. Marandos234clarified that the curriculum revision would be an ongoing process and that Teachers would be supported in their235efforts. Collaboration between elementary, middle, and high school teachers was emphasized, especially ensuring236a cohesive program highlighting critical literacy skills.

There were also discussions about the flexibility of the curriculum. Teachers could select which areas to enhance with guidance from the Administration. Once the Board approves the plan, work will begin in the third trimester, and summer curriculum work will also be a possibility. The collaboration across grade levels is expected to foster better communication among unified arts teachers, who often lack vertical collaboration opportunities. The initiative is seen as a great opportunity to advance the curriculum.

Mr. Abare, regarding a separate matter, asked a question regarding the percentage of grade 8 students requiring
two terms of Math when entering high school. Although the exact number was not finalized, Dr. Marandos
estimated that approximately 50% of students would need this additional support. She noted that the reception
to this initiative has been positive, with parents and students showing enthusiasm. It was noted that offering extra
credit for completing the Math test could increase student motivation, particularly after the grade 8 trip to
Washington, D.C., when motivation tends to dip.

Mr. Bressette confirmed that there would be additional opportunities for students to improve their performance,
 particularly in response to standardized assessments. Dr. McGee stated that students would have the chance to
 move out of Remedial Math classes if they demonstrated proficiency. This approach was viewed as motivating for
 students to engage more actively in their academic work.

256 Lever 4

The meeting shifted to Lever 4. The Board discussed the need for summer school to increase instructional time for
 students who are not yet proficient, particularly those who have not passed Algebra 1. Dr. McGee said that PHS
 Principal Dawn Mead and Assistant Principal Adam Barrier contributed to the conversation, suggesting the
 inclusion of summer school to help these students.

- Dr. McGee said the program would be a recovery initiative for students below proficiency in Algebra 1, with a
 benchmark range of 50 to 64 for eligibility. This would be the first time the program has been reintroduced since
 COVID, when a virtual recovery program was offered instead. The summer school would align with past practices
 before COVID-19, specifically targeting Algebra 1.
- The funding for the summer school program would come from anticipated underspending in salary and benefits
 from the next fiscal year, as this initiative was not initially included in the budget. The discussion also highlighted
 the importance of encouraging participation in the summer school program, as it can be life-changing for students
 when they can work in a lower-stakes environment.
- Mr. Wilkerson raised a concern regarding the scope of the summer school program. It was clarified that the
 program would not include other classes beyond Algebra 1. Previously, when funds were more readily available,
 recovery programs were offered for multiple courses, but this would now be focused solely on Algebra 1.
- The meeting moved to discuss the progress of the ongoing initiatives. It was agreed that progress reports could be made in May detailing professional development, the student's Math placement status, and the start of curriculum work. The report would also provide a general update on the recovery programs. It was noted that the output, such as student performance data, would be crucial to measure the success of the initiatives, with the state assessment data expected to be available in the fall.
- Mr. Bressette suggested increasing community engagement by developing a more robust mechanism for reporting
 progress, such as a goals dashboard or a newsletter that could highlight key academic achievements. This could
 also involve student participation, where they contribute to sharing updates or progress reports. It was further
 suggested that academic accomplishments, like those in Math, should be recognized in school communications,
 similar to how athletic achievements are currently highlighted.
- Further discussions included innovative ways to communicate progress, such as student involvement in reporting
 efforts. Ideas ranged from community updates via newsletters to leveraging existing platforms for academic
 recognition, similar to how athletic achievements are celebrated. Suggestions included integrating progress
 reports into school communications like "Tiger Techs" or "Panda Techs."
- 293 Mr. Bressette thanked Dr. McGee and Dr. Marandos for compiling the information and the work that went into the294 presentation.
- The Board acknowledged the significant planning and execution efforts and expressed unanimous support formoving forward.

B. March 11 Annual Meeting Session 2 - Voting:

The discussion then shifted to a reminder of the upcoming town election on **March 11**, with polling hours from **7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m**. The voter guide is set to be distributed during the week of **March 3**.

303 304 305

306

307

308

266

271

275

281

287

292

295

298 299 300

301

302

C. Draft Calendar 2025 - 2026 - Second Read:

- The Board reviewed the 2025-2026 School Calendar. The only modification was designating August 26 as the first full school day, ensuring specialized transition days for PES Meet and Greet, PMS Grade 6, and PHS Grade 9.
- 309 Mr. Abare moved to adopt the calendar as presented. Mr. Wilkerson seconded the motion, which passed (5-0-0).
- 310
 311 The Board then reviewed the School Board Meeting Calendar. Regarding the Board meeting schedule, an optional
 312 Saturday budget session was discussed as an alternative to multiple evening meetings in September.
- 313

314		The Board deliberated on scheduling adjustments, noting that consolidating meetings could streamline						
315		discussions on budgetary matters, including the three building budgets: Facilities, SAU, and Nutrition Services.						
316								
317		Board members expressed mixed opinions, with some favoring an extended session for efficiency and others						
318		prioritizing family time. The decision was left to the next Board.						
319		•	0					
320		D. Pol	icv]	Review:				
321			-		icies listed below.			
322		1110 200		erren en die per				
323		a.	Fir	st Reading:				
324		u		None				
325			1.	None				
326		b.	Soc	cond Reading:				
		D.		-	Anneinted Beard Officiale			
327			i. 		- Appointed Board Officials			
328				DAF	- Administration of Federal Grants			
329			iii.	DID	- Capital Fixed Assets			
330			iv.	GBGD	- Workers Compensation Temporary Alternative Work Program			
331								
332					olicies BBC, DAF, DID, and GBGD, as presented. Ms. Greenwood seconded the motion,			
333	whi	ch passe	ed (5	5-0-0).				
334								
335								
336	IV.	Other:						
337		A. Tit	le IX	Σ.				
338		Regardi	ing T	itle IX policy up	dates, Mr. Bressette mentioned that the Board received an urgent recommendation			
339		from th	e Ne	w Hampshire S	School Boards Association (NHSBA) to revert to the 2020 policy standards. The			
340		Board discussed the implications and the necessity of an emergency adoption.						
341								
342		Dr. McG	ee c	larified that lega	l counsel had reviewed the situation and advised that an immediate policy change was			
343		not nec	essa	ry, as the Distric	t was already in compliance with the 2020 federal regulations. Given this alignment,			
344		the Board followed the standard Policy Committee review process rather than expedited changes.						
345								
346	Mr.	Abare m	love	d to direct the Su	uperintendent to follow all federal laws regarding Title IX. Mr. Wilkerson seconded the			
347				assed (5-0-0).				
348		,	P					
349								
350	V.	Board	Mon	ıber Reports:				
351	•.			-	reenwood shared a personal reflection on visiting a grade 4 classroom as a guest			
352								
353	reader. She read <i>Horton Hears a Who!</i> and engaged students in discussions about perseverance. She appreciat the experience, noting the meaningful connections formed with the students.							
353		the exp	eriei	lice, noting the h	leaningful connections for med with the students.			
354 355		Ma Das		a aaid that his a	a ta baali duwing Daad Agraag Amaniga Waali in <i>Fau in Casha</i> and Dy McCas will used			
				-	o-to book during Read Across America Week is <i>Fox in Socks</i> , and Dr. McGee will read			
356		Grumpy	MO	<i>nkey</i> to Grade 3 s	students.			
357								
358		0						
359	VI.	Consen	-					
360			-	ondence & Info				
361				-	Department – Letter on Solar Project			
362			-		respondence included in the consultation feedback from the Planning Board regarding			
363					ing Board provided suggestions on snow management, roof security, and solar glare			
364					nared with the internal Team for review. Mr. Bressette noted that these topics had been			
365								
366	concerns had been addressed.							

February 19, 2025

367										
368		b. Testimony to House Education Finance Committee								
369	Th	The second item of correspondence involved testimony provided to the state regarding House Bill 717, which								
370		pertains to special education aid. The bill, proposed by Representative Rick Ladd, suggested an amendment to								
371	-	fund Special Education Aid in the same manner as adequacy funding.								
372	Tui	ia oj	Jeelai I	Judeution mu		luiiner ub	adoquady funanily.			
373	If,	2266	ad this	change would	oncuro full r	aimhurac	ement at \$1.00 per approved expense rather than the current			
	-			-						
374			-	-			266,000 in local property taxes. The Board acknowledged the			
375	-					legislativ	re process, including committee reviews and potential timelines,			
376	WI	th fi	nal dec	isions expected	d in June.					
377										
378	B.	Ad	-	n of Minutes						
379		a.	Febru	uary 5, 2025 –	Draft Public I	Minutes				
380										
381	C.	Ve	ndor a	nd Payroll Ma	nifests:					
		a.	5	67	\$644,224.	93				
		b.		67M	\$2,858.					
		с.		P021925	\$440,870.					
		d.		AY567P	21,136.					
382		u.	1.	115071	21,150.	01				
383	р	En	nollm	ant Donort.						
	D.			ent Report:	·····	D				
384	(T)	a.		uary 1, 2025 I		-				
385				-		d. It highl	ighted significant movement in and out of the District, resulting			
386	in	a ne	t decre	ase of three stu	idents.					
387										
388	Е.	Sta	-	Updates:						
389		a.	Leav	es:						
			i.	None						
390										
391		b.	Resig	gnations:						
			i.	Justin Hufft		PHS	Athletic Director			
392										
393		c.	Retir	ements:						
			i.	None						
394										
395		d.	Nom	inations:						
000		u.	i.	Kimberly H	lirch	PES	LTS			
			ii.	Tiffany Smi		PMS	Assistant Principal			
200			11.	Thiany Shi	1111	r MS	Assistant Fincipal			
396	D		-	11		17. 11.				
397					-		sch, an author and parent, as a Long-Term Substitute Teacher.			
398		-		-		-	Stark High School, was nominated for the position of Middle			
399	Scl	nool	Assista	ant Principal, e	ffective July 2	1, 2025.				
400										
401	Mr. Wil	kers	son mo	ved to accept t	he Consent A	.genda as	presented. Mr. Abare seconded the motion, which passed (5-0-			
402	0).									
403										
404	IX. Fu	ture	Agen	da Planning:						
405	Mr	: Aba	are pro	posed two top	ics: the imple	ementatio	on of high school phone pouches and the academic schedule.			
406			-		-		g phone use, with some advocating a structured approach to			
407	minimizing distractions.									
408			0.4	_						

409	The discussion also touched on the importance of involving Student Government in decision-making. The Board								
410	agreed that this issue warranted further discussion and that any policy changes should align with student								
411	engagement efforts.								
412									
413	Regarding the academic schedule, the Board expressed interest in understanding the pros and cons of the current								
414	4x4 block schedule versus an A/B schedule. It was noted that scheduling changes involve multiple stakeholders								
415	and require careful consideration. The Board emphasized the need for informed discussions with relevant School								
416	Administrators and Counselors before making any decisions.								
417									
418	The discussion concluded by acknowledging the importance of student involvement in decision-making processes,								
419	particularly regarding issues that directly impact their education.								
420									
421									
422	K. Future Meetings:								
423	A. 03/05/2025 – 6:30 p.m. School Board Meeting @ PES Library								
424	B. 03/11/2025 – 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Town Meeting (Voting) PHS Gymnasium								
425	C. 03/19/2025 – 6:30 p.m. School Board Meeting @ PES Library								
426									
427									
428	KI. Non-Public Session:								
429	Personnel Matters, Superintendent Evaluation, Solar Roof Lease Contracts, and Emergency Planning								
430	(a) The dismissal, promotion, or compensation of any public employee or the disciplining of such employee, or								
431	the investigation of any charges against him or her, unless the employee affected (1) has a right to a meeting								
432	and (2) requests that the meeting be open, in which case the request shall be granted.								
433	(c) Matters which, if discussed in public, would likely affect adversely the reputation of any person, other than a								
434	member of the public body itself, unless such person requests an open meeting. This exemption shall extend								
435	to any application for assistance or tax abatement or waiver of a fee, fine, or other levy, if based on inability to								
436	pay or poverty of the applicant.								
437	(d) Consideration of the acquisition, sale, or lease of real or personal property which, if discussed in public, would								
438	likely benefit a party or parties whose interests are adverse to those of the general community.								
439	(i) Consideration of matters relating to the preparation for and the carrying out of emergency functions,								
440	including training to carry out such functions, developed by local or state safety officials that are directly								
441	intended to thwart a deliberate act that is intended to result in widespread or severe damage to property or								
442	widespread injury or loss of life.								
443									
444	Mr. Bressette moved to enter non-public under RSA 91-A:3, II (a); RSA 91-A:3, II (c); RSA 91-A:3, II (d); and RSA 91-								
445	A:3, II (i) at 8:11 p.m. Mr. Wilkerson seconded the motion, which passed (5-0-0).								
446									
447	a. T. Bressette - Aye								
448	b. D. Wilkerson - Aye								
449	c. G. Abare - Aye								
450	d. R. Cummings - Aye								
451	e. D. Greenwood - Aye								
452									
453									
454	Respectfully Submitted,								
455	Matthew Sullivan								
456	School Board Recording Secretary								